Internet-based technologies to improve cancer care coordination: Current use and attitudes among cancer patients
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Abstract  Background:  The uses of internet-based technologies (e.g. patient portals, websites and applications) by cancer patients could be strong drive for change in cancer care coordination practices. The goal of this study was to assess the current utilisation of internet-based technologies (IBT) among cancer patients, and their willingness to use them for their health, as well as analyse the influence of socio-demographics on both aspects.

Methods: A questionnaire-based survey was conducted in June 2013, over seven non-consecutive days within seven outpatient departments of Gustave Roussy, a comprehensive cancer centre (~160,000 consultations yearly), located just outside Paris. We computed descriptive statistics and performed correlation analysis to investigate patients’ usage and attitudes in correspondence with age, gender, socioeconomic status, social isolation, and place of living. We then conducted multinomial logistic regressions using R.

Results: The participation level was 85% (n = 1371). The median age was 53.4. 71% used a mobile phone everyday and 93% had access to Internet from home. Age and socioeconomic status were negatively associated with the use of IBT (p < 0.001). Regarding patients’ expected
benefits, a wide majority valued its use in health care, and especially, the possibility to enhance communication with providers. 84% of patients reported feeling comfortable with the use of such technologies but age and socioeconomic status had a significant influence.

**Conclusion:** Most patients used IBTs every day. Overall, patients advocated for an extended use of IBT in oncology. Differences in perceived ease of use corresponding to age and socioeconomic status have to be addressed.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Today, a number of important changes are altering cancer care delivery. We observe an increase in outpatient care services coupled with a decrease in inpatient care. Clinical advances have improved the survival rates for most cancers, leading health professionals to treat cancer as a chronic disease. With oral therapies, more cancer patients, even during active treatment, can also be cared for from home. These changes, which could save important costs in a context of high financial constraints, are, however, challenging current cancer care coordination practices [1,2]. Better coordination between patients and providers and among providers, is utterly needed to ensure adequate follow-up of patients. Internet-based technologies (IBT) such as patient portals, websites and applications, managed by healthcare institutions, have therefore been recognised as a significant lever to improve cancer care coordination practices [3].

A recent systematic review conducted in the US, in 2013, highlighted the four recurrent components of cancer coordination: (1) roles and models for communication and transfer of care between primary care physicians and oncologists during active treatment and survivorship; (2) care navigation through designated personnel or telecommunication processes among care team members; (3) treatment summaries and survivorship care plans; and (4) multidisciplinary communication accompanying patient and practice management within the framework of the Chronic Care Model [4,5].

In light of this, IBT can bring valuable opportunities to improve cancer care coordination by enhancing patient-provider communication, by monitoring adverse events and by providing better patient follow-up at distance [6,7].

However, more evidence is needed regarding cancer patient’s current use and willingness to use IBT to monitor their health. First, it is important to know more about their physical connectivity to Internet. In the general population, although physical connectivity to Internet remains a concern, the ‘digital divide’ is narrowing. For instance, in the EU28, 79% of households had access to the internet in 2013 and 76% had a broadband internet connection, compared with 55% and 42%, respectively in 2007 [8]. Nevertheless, cancer patients can have specific characteristics compared to the general population, especially as cancer patients tend to be older [9]. Secondly, it is required to understand the attitudes regarding computers, internet and applications as they may play an important role in the willingness to use them for their health [10,11]. Thirdly, the question of the influence of social inequalities has to be addressed. In the literature, the most frequent sociodemographic factors found to be predictors of IBT use were age, education, socioeconomic status, gender, place of living and social isolation [12–17].

1.1. Scope of the study

Based on a patient survey, the three objectives of the study were:

- To understand the current level of use of IBT (computers, tablets, mobile phones and smartphones).
- To assess the intention to use IBT for their health.
- To determine what socio-demographic criteria could be predictors of the use and willingness to use new IBT in healthcare.

2. Patients and methods

A questionnaire-based survey was conducted in June 2013 in Gustave Roussy within seven outpatient departments (medical oncology for prostate, breast, skin, head and neck, endocrine, gastric and cervical cancers, radiotherapy, radiology, anaesthesia, haematology).

2.1. Setting

2.1.1. Gustave Roussy

Gustave Roussy is the largest comprehensive cancer centre in Europe, and is located in the suburbs of Paris. The hospital cares for about 50,000 cancer patients annually. Patients come from the full range of socioeconomic backgrounds.

2.1.2. Project scope

This study was conducted in response to the needs of the CAPRI (Cancer, Parcours de Soins, Région Île de France) project that is in the process of being implemented at Gustave Roussy (2013–2016). Its objective is to improve the quality of care and coordination for cancer patients treated in the hospital through the
implementation of a coordination platform based on two nurse navigators and a dedicated online portal in order to improve the coordination of patients across the care continuum.

2.2. Questionnaire design

The questionnaire was built upon a preliminary literature review conducted between January and June 2013 on Google Scholar™, Web of Knowledge™ and PubMed™. It consisted of a total of 38 multiple-choice questions and one open question. The questionnaire comprised three parts: (i) Use of internet through computers, mobile phones and tablets (ii) Willingness to use information technologies for their health, (iii) Socio-demographics.

Survey items asked for patients’ use of information technologies (computer, mobile phones, tablets) for accessing to the Internet and their intention to use these devices for health care.

To investigate whether different groups within the population had different patterns of use, we selected five socio-demographic variables including age, gender, socioeconomic status (based on employment status), number of people in the household, and the type of locality they live in (rural/urban). Intention to use IBT for different services in health was measured using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (definitely not useful) to 5 (definitely useful). The services were: provision of information about disease and treatment, provision of information about care and support, peer communication in support groups, patient-provider communication by e-consultation, autonomous symptom monitoring, and symptom monitoring with e-monitoring of the care provider.

To ensure validity in this method, we tested the questionnaire through face validity, using two complementary approaches. First, we gathered a panel of experts (two physicians, two pharmacists, two nurses and two senior researchers) to evaluate the questionnaire. Following their suggestions, minor modifications were made. Second, content validity was then checked by passing the questionnaire to a group of patients (n = 20) within Gustave Roussy to ensure the questions were relevant and properly answered by patients. Different adjustments were made after analysis of the comments. Eventually, the final draft of the questionnaire was reviewed in consultation with a statistician to ensure that the questions could be coded appropriately for data analysis.

2.3. Data collection

2.3.1. Patient eligibility

Patients over the age of 18 were asked by front desk staff if they were willing to complete an anonymous questionnaire regarding their current use and attitudes towards new information technologies while waiting for their appointment. The medical staff of Gustave Roussy was asked to give his consent before and patient consent was necessary to be enrolled in the study.

2.3.2. Survey administration

Copies of a self-administered questionnaire were distributed by front desk outpatient clinic staff to patients checking in for appointments with their physician at Gustave Roussy. A box was displayed to return the questionnaires, once completed. A member of staff was available to answer questions the patients may have. The staff was well informed about the objectives of the study. The questionnaire was distributed between 6th June and 14th June, 2013, during seven non-consecutive days.

2.3.3. Ethical consent

The study received a certificate, delivered by CNIL (The French national board which enforces law on data protection) on 4th June 2013 to guarantee personal data protection. Consent to participate was implied by the completion and return of the questionnaire. The questionnaires were fully anonymous.

2.4. Analysis

Survey data were analysed with an optical scanner. Statistical analyses were performed using R. Results were considered significant at \( p = 0.01 \).

We first computed descriptive statistics based on survey responses. Then, an analysis based on spearman coefficients and Fisher’s exact tests was conducted to investigate correlations between characteristics of patients’ IBT usage and attitudes, and their age, gender, socioeconomic status, social isolation (number of people in the household) and place of living (urban/rural). For the multivariate analysis, multinomial logistic regressions were done including socioeconomic status and age as independent variables. The outcome variables for the first set of regressions were ‘frequency of use of mobile phone’, ‘frequency of use of smartphone’ and ‘frequency of use of computer’. The outcome variable of the last regression was the perceived ease of use of IT devices by patients (‘I feel able to use a computer, a smartphone or a tablet’). Likelihood ratio tests were conducted to ensure for the goodness of fit of the models. In the models, socioeconomic status was coded as a categorical variable. The manager category served as the reference group.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

Of the 1609 questionnaires distributed, 1371 were returned. The participation level was 85%. Questionnaires
with more than five missing answers were excluded from the survey. Finally, 1072 questionnaires were selected (final response rate = 67%).

The study sample consisted of 1072 questionnaires. Respondents to the survey were 53 years old in average. 70% of them were women. Participants represented a broad spectrum of professional categories (see Table 1).

The sample was consistent with the hospital population. As a comparison, patients coming to Gustave Roussy in 2012, had a median age of 56 years and 62% of them were women.

3.2. Use

71% of patients used a mobile phone every day. Computers were also used on a daily basis by a majority of the study sample (63%), or a few times a week (21%). Tablets and smartphones were still minority but the proportion of people using it is supposed to grow quickly for a year to another. Smartphone users accounted for about 40% of the population. Most patients reported never using tablets (see Fig. 1).

93% of our diverse population accessed the Internet from home. Among them, 68% used Internet every day. Only 7% of them did not have access at home.

3.2.1. Influence of socio-demographics

Age and employment status were significantly associated with the frequency of use of mobile phones, smartphones and computers ($p < 0.05$). The respective correlation coefficients were negative and moderate (coefficients between $-0.25$ and $-0.49$).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>53.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% women)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of people in the household (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 et +</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional categories (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localisation (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Intention to use IBT for health care

Patient attitudes towards e-health activities were mainly positive. A significant majority valued the use of IBT in health care, and especially, the possibility to enhance communication with providers (see Table 3).

Communication with physicians via video was not perceived as useful by a majority of respondents (44%). The opportunity to chat with peer patients was not seen as really important to most of them (only 44%). Otherwise, no significant gap among attitudes between the different patient groups could be identified.

3.4. Perceived ease of use

Above all, patients were not reluctant to use IBT. 84% of the population studied declared to be able to use a computer, a tablet or a smartphone. Only 8% disagreed.

3.4.1. Influence of socio-demographics

Age and employment status were significantly associated with the perceived ease of use of mobile phones, smartphones and tablets ($p < 0.05$). The respective correlation coefficients were negative and moderate (coefficients between $-0.25$ and $-0.49$). Age and employment status were then included in the logistic models.

As shown in Table 4, Perceptions regarding the ability to use IBT devices were negatively associated with age and employment status. The odds of agreeing with the sentence ‘I feel able to use such devices’ decreased by 7% for one-unit increase in age. The odds of feeling able to use the devices decreased by 76% for a worker, 75% for a retired, and 82% for an unemployed compared to a manager.

Except that, the only issue raised by respondents was the question of data confidentiality. A third of the population (32%) reported being worried about this matter.

Overall, a majority of the patients included in our study sample were willing to use IBT for their health care.
Table 2
Coefficients of the multinomial logistic regression on frequency of use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>Frequency of use of a mobile phone</th>
<th>Frequency of use of a smartphone</th>
<th>Frequency of use of a computer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Every day versus less than once/wk</td>
<td>A few times/wk versus less than once/wk</td>
<td>Every day versus less than once/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.05**</td>
<td>−0.05**</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>−0.75*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>−1.14*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>−1.25**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>−0.81*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* <0.01.
** <0.001.

Table 3
Perceived usefulness of internet-based technologies (IBT) applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IT applications</th>
<th>Useful/very useful (%)</th>
<th>Neutral (%)</th>
<th>Not useful/rather not useful (%)</th>
<th>n/a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have access to electronic medical records</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill out a self-test about your health status</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate via emails with your physician</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule an appointment</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get information about disease/support</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get access to external contacts (psychologist, nurses, ...)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get help with medication monitoring (reminders, side-effects)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give access to a relative for using these functions</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receive a reminder for the appointment</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chat with peer patients</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicate via video</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The first findings indicated that access and use of IBT were widespread in the population. These proportions align with the projections that had been made for developed countries. It is estimated that there were six billion mobile phones in 2013, with over 85% of the world’s population having access to a mobile signal [18]. It is less evident for tablets and smartphones (46%) which are still used by a minority, even if the figures could change rapidly (e.g. the proportion of people owning a smartphone has doubled between 2012 and 2013 in the general population in France [19]).

The second findings were related to patient willingness to use IBT for their health. 80% of respondents considered the possibility to get an improved access to their medical records as a priority. It is something observed for other clinical conditions over the last decade [20], yet far fewer (7%) had experience doing so [21]. We can highlight that chatting with peer patients was not necessary according to most patients (54%) even if some blogs have been developed with success over the last decade [22].

The third findings were related to the influence of age and socioeconomic status in both access to and perceived ease of use of IBT. This measure of influence should be considered in a dynamic way (for instance, the percentage of social network users aged fifty-five to sixty-four rose from 9 percent at the end of 2008 to 43 percent by mid-2010) [23].

### 4.1. Challenges

Implementation of such IBT required health care organisations to comply with standards to ensure patients a sufficient level of privacy and security when using internet-based technologies [24].

The differences in attitudes and beliefs according to patient characteristics advocate for a customised approach. This study shows that older patients are less likely to use web-based tools. As old patients are also less likely to search for information about their cancer [25], customisation is necessary in order to adapt IBT to their specific needs [26]. Moreover, other services can be developed to address patient preferences and provide more customised care such as the opportunities to schedule appointments online and to receive health-related reminders according to their specific needs.

Another challenge could consist in providers fearing that a consequence of IBT could be the cuts in hospital staffing considering the restraint number of inpatients visits. At the same time, the predictions of shortage of oncologists may alleviate the effect [1].

Last, the increase in the use of IBT can alter the doctor-patient relationship. Patients will expect providers to be much more responsive as they would need instant responses. At the same time, they will also need to maintain face-to-face hospital contact [14] so the balance will be hard to find.

### 4.2. Perspectives

As patients are open to use them, IBT could play a significant role in cancer care coordination in the near future.

At the hospital level, IBT could offer opportunities to improve quality of care and save costs by enhancing coordination between professionals throughout the care pathway [27]. Focal points for the hospital are the possibility to reduce mails and telephone communications and to limit the number of unjustified readmissions and missed appointments (i.e. patients would not show up for a planned appointment) that are an increasing waste of time and money for both the hospital and the whole healthcare system.

At the patient level, IBT can limit the need for routine in-person outpatient visits, and monitor adverse events associated with chemotherapies. IBT can also provide reassurance by maintaining contact with the clinical team, and by providing useful information to the patients [6,28–30]. They may offer additional services such as medication refills, appointment scheduling, or related reminders according to their specific needs [26]. Moreover, other services can be developed to address patient preferences and provide more customised care such as the opportunities to schedule appointments online and to receive health-related reminders according to their specific needs.

Another aspect of cancer care redesign could be its transition towards a patient-centred service [31]. Indeed, IBTs are effective tools to support care customisation through online needs assessments and day-to-day patient follow-up via a web-based platform. It is important to notice that these web-based tools can allow a patient to access the information independently and repeatedly; the information is better readable; and the user can link the information to other sources of medical information, available on the Internet. Also, they can be adapted to the patient’s wishes and knowledge level by sending e-mails through secure messaging when they want.

Last, IBTs could also be used to facilitate real-time data collection of patients’ health status. Patient-driven
data are becoming of paramount importance as they can provide useful information to health professionals [32].

4.3. Limitations

Limitations of this study include its sampling from a single centre in a metropolitan area, so the results may have limited generalisability, although overall access and intentions were consistent with the results found in other studies made on the general population. In addition, respondents may be influenced by social desirability and be tempted to conform their answers to what is expected from them. This could affect the numbers we got in terms of willingness to use IBT in healthcare [33].

5. Conclusion

This study confirmed the possibility for cancer patients to use internet-based technologies for their health. No major obstacles to the development of a cancer care coordination programme based on IBT could be identified. The effects of age and socioeconomic status have to be addressed.
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